### **ORDER SHEET**

# WEST BENGAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL

#### Present-

The Hon'ble Mrs. Urmita Datta (Sen), Member(J) The Hon'ble Mr. P. Ramesh Kumar, Member (A)

#### Case No <u>- OA-863 of 2017.</u>

Sumitra Mondal & Anr. Vs The State of West Bengal & Others.				
Serial No. and Date of order.	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature		
1	_	of parties when necessary  3		
05	For the Applicant : Mr. Sobhan Majumder, Advocate.			
24/08/2018	For the State Respondents: Mr. Goutam Pathak Banerjee Advocate.	·,		
	It is noted that one MA 77 of 2018 has been			
	filed in connection with OA No.863 of 2017, which			
	was scheduled to be listed on 16.05.2018 but it was			
	not listed on 16.05.2018. Today the aforementioned			
	MA has not been listed. Therefore, MA, which has			
	been filed for condonation of delay to be treated as			
	part of today's' list. The instant application has			
	been filed praying for compassionate appointment in			
	favour of the applicant No.2. According to the			
	applicants, the father of the applicant No.2 died on			
	31.12.2003. Subsequently, applicant No.1 i.e. wife			
	of the deceased employee approached the authority			
	for compassionate appointment in favour of the			
	applicant No.2 (Annexure C). Thereafter, the Joint			
	Secretary, Department of Public Health Engineering			
	ultimately vide letter dated 28.07.2014 to the Chief			
	Engineer(Planning & WQM), had directed him to			
	furnish a fresh enquiry report along with other			
	required documents. Subsequently, applicant No.2			

## **ORDER SHEET**

Sumitra	Mondal	& Anr.
---------	--------	--------

Form No.

Vs. The State of West Bengal & Others.

Case No. <u>OA-863 of 2017.</u>				
Serial No. and Date of order.	Order of the Tribunal with signature	Office action with date and dated signature		
1	2	of parties when necessary 3		
	was also informed by letter dated 16.09.14 about			
	the fresh enquiry report submitted by the			
	Superintending Engineer to the Chief Engineer			
	(Planning & WQM). However, since no action has			
	been taken, being aggrieved, the applicant has filed			
	the instant application.			
	The counsel for the respondent has raised the			
	preliminary objection on the point of limitation. The			
	counsel for the applicant has filed one miscellaneous			
	application for condonation of delay, wherein, it has			
	been stated that the applicant No.1 was seriously ill			
	during the period of 03.04.2016 to 28.08.2017. In			
	support of which, he has only enclosed one			
	certificate issued by one doctor and has prayed for			
	condonation of delay for filing the instant			
	application.			
	However, the counsel for the respondent has			
	raised preliminary objection as the concerned			
	employee died on 31.12.2003 even the last			
	communication was made on 16.09.2014 and the			
	applicant has approached this Tribunal on			
	12.09.2017 only. Moreover, the last communication			
	was made to the applicant No.2. Therefore, illness			
	of his mother has no relevancy in the instant case.			
	Thus he has prayed for rejection of the prayer.			

## **ORDER SHEET**

Fo	rm	N	0
			$\mathbf{\circ}$

Sumitra Mondal & Anr.

••••

Vs.

The State of West Bengal & Others.

Case No. OA-863 of 2017.

	Case No. <u>OA-863 of 2017.</u>					
Serial No. and Date of order.	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature				
1		of parties when necessary 3				
	Heard the parties. Perused the records. It is					
	noted that the concerned employee died in 2003. It					
	is settled law that compassionate appointment is not					
	a matter of right. However, compassionate					
	appointment could be granted to overcome the					
	sudden financial crisis occurred due to the sudden					
	demise of the bread earner. In the instant case, the					
	applicant No.2 had waited from 2003 till 2017.					
	Further, last communication was made to him on					
	16.09.2014. Even then he approached this Tribunal					
	in 2017 only. We are also agreeable with the					
	contention of the respondent even if we accept that					
	the applicant No.1 was ill during the period.					
	However, there is no reason for the applicant no.2,					
	to whom the last communication was made, who					
	can easily approached this Tribunal within a period					
	of limitation. Therefore, we do not find any reason					
	to condone the delay even we do not find any merit					
	in the instant case. Accordingly, both the <b>MA and</b>					
	OA are dismissed.					
GM	P. RAMESH KUMAR URMITA DATTA (SEN) MEMBER(A) MEMBER(J)					